

Testimony of Jan Hochadel President, AFT CT

Public Health Committee February 8, 2022

Chairpersons Abrams, Steinberg, and Members of the Committee, I am Jan Hochadel, President of AFT CT. I am here to speak on the Continuation of Emergency Declarations and Limited Executive Orders.

Since the start of the pandemic, AFT CT has argued that we should follow the science as we make educational policy. We admit that can be easier to say than to do: our understanding of the disease changes, and the science can be open to interpretation, which has become increasingly partisan. Now, as this wave of the virus begins to abate, we still assert that we must follow the science to keep our schools open and our staff and students safe. The issues we face are when and how changes are made to respond to the science. We acknowledge the "when" is entirely the State's decision, and though we would encourage the State to keep masks in place until the end of the month when keeping classroom windows open is a possibility, we will eagerly accept any decision the State makes as to when mask mandates should be removed. How it is done, however, should reflect the opinions of the professional educators. As president of AFT CT, I am here to represent our 15,000 certified educators and non-certified school-related personnel

Regardless of the initial steps we take as this wave abates, we have learned over the past two years that the only thing we can depend upon with this virus is that it will change. Ultimately, as AFT President Randi Weingarten has expressed in her letter to Secretary Cardona (attached) DPH has demonstrated that we are safest when they make decisions. Should there be a new variant, we trust DPH to do what is in the best interest of all stakeholders. We also need to be assured that there will be appropriate safety matrix and precautions for all staff who needs or wants such precautions; we cannot have the waits and backlogs we have faced in the past. We need clearly articulated policies and protocols so that the expectations for masks and protocols are clear and in writing. We cannot have our educators trying to enforce protocols and in doing so taking time away from instruction; our students have lost enough instruction already.

As we approach the beginning of our third year of teaching during COVID, we must pay attention to the lessons we have clearly learned. One, once DPH has decided on a matrix and policy, there should be no shaming of anyone who is following that policy. If masks are optional for vaccinated students and staff, then we should respect the choices people make. I understand this at a personal level: as a cancer survivor, I feel more comfortable in many public spaces wearing a mask. We have thousands upon thousands of educators who are similarly compromised, and students, administrators, and parents should be respectful of those educators who believe wearing a mask – especially a medically fitted N95- is their last, best recourse for safety. We also understand the limits of precautions; no matter how careful we are, people get sick. The mutually negotiated sick time provisions in our contracts did not consider this pandemic. COVID relief time, provided by the state, should cover illnesses provably connected to COVID. Two, we have learned that so-called "hybrid learning" is a misnomer; neither learning nor teaching is possible. Teachers cannot teach the people in the classroom and check on students at home; it is not possible. If the DPH decides it is safe to be in school without masks, the COVID results in CT demonstrate that they have earned our trust. We cannot allow the very vocal few on either side of the partisan divide bully our educators.

AFT CT applauds the Lamont administration for their commitment to in-person learning this year. Our educators want to work with the administration to assure their plans are successful moving forward. As long as the Administration and this committee is willing to work with us, I am certain we will be successful for our students and our future.



November 23, 2021

Miguel Cardona Secretary, U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Ave. S.W. Washington, DC 20202

Rochelle Walensky Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 395 E St. S.W. Washington, DC 20024

Dear Drs. Cardona and Walensky,

Now that we are well into the 2021-22 school year, I write to share the experiences and concerns of public school educators who have been deeply engaged in the work of addressing their students' academic, social and emotional needs since schools reopened. Thanks in large part to the extraordinary work of the Biden-Harris administration, the good news on COVID-19 is abundant: 195 million Americans are fully vaccinated, including more than 90 percent of educators; the overwhelming majority of schools are open for in-person learning; the effort to get COVID-19 testing in schools has been monumental; and fully 10 percent of 5- to 11-year-olds have received their first shot. With this progress in mind, we can remain clear-eyed about the challenges before us but still look to a future in which the pandemic is better tracked and contained; a future with increasing vaccination rates and with more guidance and benchmarks on testing and masking; a future in which communities can reset and find their new normal.

For the most part, our country enjoyed a successful reopening of in-person schooling this fall. At the same time, it has been a very tough year for our students, their parents and their educators. As you know, the AFT mounted an aggressive back-to-school campaign, I personally visited 55 schools in 20 states since Aug. 1. I have seen incredible devotion to our students by their teachers, support staff and administrators, who day and night help our students not just recover but thrive. And while the CDC guidance, the vaccines and the resources from President Biden's American Rescue Plan have been vital for a safe reopening, we are facing challenges as we try to meet our students' social, emotional and academic needs and navigate the overwhelming desire for a return to normalcy in children's education and lives.

American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO

AFT Teachers AFT PSRP AFT Higher Education AFT Public Employees AFT Nurses and Health Professionals

555 New Jersey Ave. N.W. Washington, DC 20001 202-879-4400 www.aft.org

Randi Weingarten PRESIDENT

Fedrick C. Ingram SECRETARY-TREASURER

Evelyn DeJesus EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

VICE PRESIDENTS

J. Philippe Abraham Shelvy Y. Abrams Frederick Albert Victor M. Bonilla Sánchez Barbara Bowen Vicky Rae Byrd Zeph Capo Larry J. Carter, Jr. Kathy A. Chavez Donna M. Chiera Melissa Cropper Amanda Curtis Jolene T. DiBrango GlenEva Dunham Marietta A. English Francis J. Flynn Jeffery M. Freitas Lisa J. Gourley David Gray Ron Gross Anthony M. Harmon David Hecker Karla Hernandez-Mats Jan Hochadel Jerry T. Jordan Frederick E. Kowal Terrence Martin, Sr. John McDonald Daniel J. Montgomery Michael Mulgrew Andrew Pallotta Donna Phillips, RN Juan Ramirez Jesse Sharkey Andrew Spar Denise Specht Wayne Spence Jessica J. Tang Adam Urbanski Debbie White, RN Carl Williams

The American Federation of Teachers is a union of professionals that champions fairness; democracy; economic opportunity; and high-quality public education, healthcare and public services for our students, their families and our communities. We are committed to advancing these principles through community engagement, organizing, collective bargaining and political activism, and especially through the work our members do.

Drs. Cardona and Walensky/Page 2

We know that masks have helped stop the transmission of the virus and saved countless lives. In fact, a recent study suggests that mask wearing reduces COVID-19 infections by 53 percent.¹ In the wake of so much good news, and the yearning for normalcy, I frequently hear from AFT members and parents in our communities as to whether indoor masking will continue forever. For example, there are reports coming from some classroom teachers that the constant use of masks impedes the learning process. A number of parents have expressed dismay about their child's overall well-being after wearing a mask continually for well over a year and a half. We are all concerned about the data showing the great exhaustion and stress and strain both educators and parents have endured as a result of COVID-19 and its effects. And as an asthmatic, I personally struggle to breathe while wearing a mask indoors. With this in mind, we are wondering what circumstances and standards will be used as the basis for changes to current guidance on testing and masking.

Let me be clear: most educators and parents understand the importance of masks when it comes to safety, and of course we are all watching COVID-19 rates tick up again. We respect the science and are grateful that indoor masking has been adopted by many schools and districts as a means to start this school year. But as we know, our ability to prepare for what might happen down the road increases our likelihood for success. What no one wants is that the guidance change without notice or time to prepare. So rather than schools and school districts make decisions to stop masking, as they are starting to do in the absence of guidance, we are asking the CDC and the Education Department to start a transparent process and work with educators and parents on the metrics and standards for easing indoor mask requirements without sacrificing safety.

One idea we'd like to lift up is the recent announcement from Massachusetts that in schools where 80 percent of the population (adults and kids) are vaccinated, the mask mandate is waived school by school. We'd encourage studies to verify if 80 percent is an appropriate threshold for this waiver. If this guidance does not come from the federal government, districts will decide on their own—and will not necessarily use science to make those decisions.

We would love to see the CDC conduct more research to explore strategies such as dynamic masking, as described here.² While the cited article is far from conclusory on whether community transmission levels are the right metric, we need to have deeper exploration of science-based solutions that will enable school officials to adapt to the pandemic landscape in their communities. The impact of incorporating high vaccination rates and the use of rapid testing into the mix should also be examined.

² Leah C. Rowland, Martin D. Klinkhammer and Dana W. E. Ramirez, "Dynamic Masking: A Proposal of Burden-Based Metrics for Masking in K-12 Schools During the COVID-19 Pandemic," Nov. 8, 2021, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.13099</u>.

Drs. Cardona and Walensky/Page 3

In a similar vein, we know the CDC has weighed in when it comes to masking outdoors.³ Unfortunately, this guidance is not as well-known as it should be, and we would ask the Education Department, the CDC and other federal agencies to help lift it up. It would be helpful for enforcement of indoor mask policies if the administration uplifted the CDC guidance that masks are largely unnecessary for vaccinated people when outdoors. That will help more kids have outdoor mask breaks, particularly during recess.

Increased vaccination among the school population and accessibility of rapid tests so that we can have a more tailored approach to removal from school when children and others in the school community are infected by the virus are also incredibly valuable incentives as well. And we'd encourage all these things, while reinforcing the message about the importance of outdoor recess, sports and the possibility of outdoor classroom learning when possible.

With vaccines widely available to just about everyone, we are craving the light at the end of this pandemic tunnel. Let's be clear, we do not want to sacrifice safety, but both parents and teachers want to know what the best science guidance is on when we can ease indoor masking. Please consider educators and parents as your partners in getting to the end.

Sincerely,

Ral Wel

Randi Weingarten President, American Federation of Teachers

RW: emc opeiu #2 afl-cio

³⁴⁴ In general, people do not need to wear masks when outdoors. CDC recommends that people who are not fully vaccinated wear a mask in crowded outdoor settings or during activities that involve sustained close contact with other people. Fully vaccinated people might choose to wear a mask in crowded outdoor settings if they or someone in their household is immunocompromised." <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/schools-childcare/k-12-guidance.html#mask-use</u>